

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| | | | |
|--------------|---|-------------|------------------|
| Applicant : | Keisuke Miyagawa et al. | Art Unit : | 2629 |
| Serial No. : | 10/695,411 | Examiner : | Abbas Abdulsalam |
| Filed : | October 29, 2003 | Conf. No. : | 5621 |
| Title : | DISPLAY DEVICE AND ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT | | |

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY TO ACTION OF AUGUST 21, 2006

In reply to the Office Action of August 21, 2006, applicant submits the following remarks.

Claims 1-13 are pending, with claims 1-4, 6, and 7 being independent. Applicant thanks the Examiner for allowing claims 2-4 and 6-13.

As a preliminary matter, applicant notes that U.S. Patent No. 6,599,767 (Li) was referenced in the Detailed Action at Section 2 but Li was not cited in the Notice of References Cited Form (PTO-892) sent with the Detailed Action. Applicant respectfully requests an updated PTO-892 citing Li.

Independent claim 1 recites a display device that includes a light-emitting device having a plurality of pixels and an optical system provided at one side of the light-emitting device. A light-emitting element is provided in each of the plurality of pixels, and two electrodes of the light-emitting element both have translucency. The optical system makes light emitted from one of two adjacent pixels of the plurality of pixels incident into a left eye of a viewer and makes light emitted from the other incident into a right eye of the viewer by controlling a traveling direction of light emitted from the plurality of pixels.

Claims 1 and 5 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over admitted prior art in view of Li. Applicant requests withdrawal of this rejection because neither the admitted prior art nor Li describes or suggests two electrodes of a light-emitting element that both have translucency, as recited in claim 1.